Merging UMETRICS Data with Census Employee Data to Assess Human Capital Outcomes

> Nikolas Zolas and Nathan Goldschlag Center for Economic Studies U.S. Census Bureau

> > Food Safety Workshop December 1, 2015

Disclaimer: Any opinions and conclusions expressed herein are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the views of the U.S. Census Bureau. All results have been reviewed to ensure that no confidential information is disclosed.





- UMETRICS provides us with a host of employee characteristics not found anywhere else
- Merging UMETRICS with Census confidential microdata allows us to track the outcomes of employees
 - Wage Outcomes
 - o Location Outcomes
 - Sector and Industry Outcomes
 - o Entrepreneurial Outcomes
- As well as outcomes of the firms and establishments they work for
 - o Employment Growth
 - o Patents
 - o Employment Networks



Data Description

• Business Register (BR)

- Universe of U.S. non-agricultural businesses and the source of data from which all other economic data are ultimately created
- Key data provided: Industry Classification (NAICS), Geographic data, Employment, Payroll, EIN Codes, Available from 2002-2013

• Longitudinal Business Database (LBD)

- Universe of employer businesses, unique establishments, the LBD covers all industries and all U.S. States linked over time
- Key data provided: Industry Classification (NAICS), Geographic data, Employment, Payroll, Firm Age, Available from 2002-2013
- Integrated Longitudinal Business Database (iLBD)
 - o Universe of non-employer businesses with links to employer universe
 - o iLBD records are identified by either PIKs or EINS, 85-88% are PIKs and 12-15% are EINS
 - Key data provided: Industry Classification, Gross Receipts, Geographic data, Available from 2002-2010
- Longitudinal Employer-Household Dynamics (LEHD)
 - Employee-Employer linked dataset
 - Key data provided: EIN-Geocode Linkage, Wage Data, Available from 2002-2010
- W2 Data
 - o Key data provided: PIK, Wage Data, Available from 2005-2014



PVS Process

• Before any matching can take place, each employee much be assigned an anonymous, unique person identifier through the US Census Bureau's Personal Identification Validation System (PVS)



PVS Process (Cont.)

• PIK rates vary by University and what details of the University Employees we receive (i.e. birthdates, W2 Data, etc...)

Last Year	А	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	T
2008	65.6%	82.6%	99.1%	74.3%	-	60.6%	63.2%	-	99.3%
2009	64.1%	80.2%	99.0%	75.5%	(D)	62.9%	61.0%	-	99.7%
2010	66.1%	79.6%	99.1%	72.4%	75.1%	62.3%	64.7%	70.7%	99.5%
2011	67.7%	78.6%	95.8%	73.3%	74.9%	60.0%	70.1%	67.5%	99.9%
2012	60.9%	77.8%	97.7%	71.6%	73.1%	59.2%	63.5%	69.5%	99.6%
Total	63.4%	79.6%	97.8%	73.2%	74.4%	60.9%	65.2%	69.0%	99.6%

PIK Rate by University



Matching Process – Stage 1

Once we have obtained PIKs, we can match University PIKs to four different Census Databases





Preliminary Findings

Match Rates

Final Year at University	University Employees	PIK-ized	Total Matched	Total Matched to Non-University Firm
2009	13,702	11,137	10,729	8,259
2010	23,463	16,231	15,516	11,884
2011	23,382	18,553	17,679	12,294



Sectoral Breakdown

Year	Private	Education	Public
2010	52.7%	36.7%	10.2%
2011	57.7%	34.2%	7.7%
2012	57.8%	34.7%	7.3%
Total	56.1%	35.2%	8.4%

Faculty

Year	Private	Education	Public
2010	41.7%	42.4%	14.6%
2011	41.8%	45.6%	12.7%
2012	36.8%	53.0%	9.7%
Total	39.6%	48.0%	11.9%

Graduate Students

Year	Private	Education	Public
2010	46.6%	42.7%	10.4%
2011	52.9%	38.7%	8.2%
2012	55.0%	36.5%	8.2%
Total	51.7%	39.2%	8.9%



U.S. Department of Commerce Economics and Statistics Administration U.S. CENSUS BUREAU

Post-Doc

Year	Private	Education	Public
2010	30.7%	59.6%	8.9%
2011	41.1%	49.8%	9.1%
2012	35.4%	59.0%	5.6%
Total	35.9%	56.3%	7.6%

Undergraduates

Year	Private	Education	Public
2010	67.3%	23.8%	8.5%
2011	70.1%	22.9%	6.5%
2012	70.5%	22.9%	6.4%
Total	69.7%	23.1%	6.9%

Job Placements - 1 Year After Leaving Institution

By University (Graduate Students)

В

D

F

	Proportion Matched (At Least 6 Months)				
Last Year	Industry	Academia	Government		
2010	49.0%	41.2%	9.8%		
2011	42.9%	46.0%	9.5%		
2012	50.9%	43.6%	5.5%		

	Proportion Matched (At Least 6 Months)				
Last Year	Industry	Academia	Government		
2010	35.9%	55.6%	8.3%		
2011	46.7%	44.4%	8.9%		
2012	49.1%	42.3%	8.0%		

	Proportion Matched (At Least 6 Months)				
Last Year	Industry	Academia	Government		
2010	55.9%	27.3%	15.9%		
2011	58.0%	28.0%	13.0%		
2012	56.8%	27.1%	15.6%		

	Proportion Matched (At Least 6 Months)				
Last Year	Industry	Academia	Government		
2010	48.3%	40.7%	10.7%		
2011	58.9%	34.9%	5.9%		
2012	59.6%	34.3%	5.7%		

	Proportion	n Matched (At	Least 6 Months)
Last Year	Industry	Academia	Government
2010	-	-	-
2011	62.5%	28.1%	9.4%
2012	57.1%	32.1%	10.7%

	Proportion Matched (At Least 6 Months)				
Last Year	Industry	Academia	Government		
2010	45.1%	47.4%	7.5%		
2011	57.5%	39.2%	3.3%		
2012	45.7%	49.4%	4.9%		



U.S. Department of Commerce Economics and Statistics Administration U.S. CENSUS BUREAU

А

E

Industry Breakdown

		National	All
NAICS	NAICS Description	Sample	Universities
11	Forestry, Fishing, Hunting, and Agriculture Support	1.12%	0.92%
21	Mining	0.59%	0.41%
22	Utilities	0.72%	0.34%
23	Construction	4.64%	1.31%
31-33	Manufacturing	9.75%	13.35%
42	Wholesale Trade	4.97%	4.58%
44-45	Retail Trade	13.03%	8.20%
48-49	Transportation and Warehousing	3.95%	0.99%
51	Information	2.85%	4.17%
52	Finance and Insurance	5.57%	4.08%
53	Real Estate and Rental and Leasing	1.79%	0.85%
54	Professional, Scientific, and Technical Services	7.09%	15.06%
55	Management of Companies and Enterprises	2.64%	3.07%
56	Administrative and Support and Waste Management and Remediation Services	8.17%	9.25%
62	Health Care and Social Assistance	16.24%	18.52%
71	Arts, Entertainment, and Recreation	1.83%	2.40%
72	Accommodation and Food Services	10.25%	6.36%
81	Other Services (except Public Administration)	4.81%	6.11%

Most Grant Recipients are concentrated in Manufacturing, Professional Services and Healthcare



Industry Breakdown (Cont.)

NAICS	NAICS Description	LBD	All Universities
1 1	Forestry, Fishing, Hunting, and		
11	Agriculture Support	1.12%	0.92%
21	Mining	0.59%	0.41%
22	Utilities	0.72%	0.34%
23	Construction	4.64%	1.31%
31-33	Manufacturing	-	
42	Wholesale Trade	and the second	
44-45	Retail Trade	NAICS	NAICS De
48-49	Transportation and Warehousing	330	Primary M
51	Information	331	Primary M
52	Finance and Insurance	332	Fabricated
53	Real Estate and Rental and Leasing	333	Machinery
54	Professional, Scientific, and Technical Services	334	Computer a
55	Management of Companies and Enterprises	335	Electrical I Componen
56	Administrative and Support and Waste	336	Transporta
50 62	Management and Remediation Services Health Care and Social Assistance	337	Furniture a Manufactu
52 71	Arts Entertainment and Recreation	110	Miscellane
72	Accommodation and Food Services	541	Profession
81	Administration)	621 622	Ambulator Hospitals

	1.5170		_
CS	NAICS Description	LB	D
0	Primary Metal Manufacturing	0.00	1%
1 2 3	Primary Metal Manufacturing Fabricated Metal Product Manufacturing Machinery Manufacturing	0.33	1% 8%
4	Computer and Electronic Product Manufacturing		NA
5	Electrical Equipment, Appliance, and Component Manufacturing		33
6	Transportation Equipment Manufacturing		33
7	Furniture and Related Product Manufacturing		33
9	Miscellaneous Manufacturing		
1	Professional, Scientific, and Technical Services		33
1 2	Ambulatory Health Care Services Hospitals		33

Nursing and Residential Care Facilities

Social Assistance

3-digit NAICS Breakdown

4-digit NAICS

Broaldown

All

Universities

0.01%

0.28%

1.01%

NAICS	NAICS Description	LBD	All Universities
3341	Computer and Peripheral Equipment Manufacturing	0.06%	0.26%
3342	Communications Equipment Manufacturing	0.10%	0.17%
3343	Audio and Video Equipment Manufacturing	0.01%	0.02%
3344	Semiconductor and Other Electronic Component Manufacturing	0.25%	0.54%
3345	Navigational, Measuring, Electromedical, and Control Instruments Manufacturing	0.34%	0.74%
3346	Manufacturing and Reproducing Magnetic and Optical Media	0.01%	0.00%
5411	Legal Services	1.02%	1.23%
5412	Accounting, Tax Preparation, Bookkeeping, and Payroll Services	1.15%	1.29%
5413	Architectural, Engineering, and Related Services	1.13%	1.92%
5414	Specialized Design Services	0.09%	0.04%
5415	Computer Systems Design and Related Services	1.30%	1.99%
5416	Management, Scientific, and Technical Consulting Services	0.86%	1.67%
5417	Scientific Research and Development Services	0.63%	0.00%



U.S. Department of Commerce Economics and Statistics Administration **U.S. CENSUS BUREAU**

623 624

Over/Under-Represented Industries

Most Overrepresented 4-digit NAICS

	NAICS	NAICS Description	U.S.	Univs.	Dif
1	5413	Architectural, Engineering, and Related Services	1.13%	4.34%	3.21%
2	5415	Computer Systems Design and Related Services	1.30%	3.97%	2.68%
3	5613	Employment Services	3.87%	6.26%	2.39%
		Management, Scientific, and Technical Consulting			
4	5416	Services	0.86%	2.67%	1.82%
5	6221	General Medical and Surgical Hospitals	4.63%	5.96%	1.33%
6	4236	Electrical and Electronic Goods Merchant Wholesalers	0.43%	1.72%	1.28%
7	6214	Outpatient Care Centers	0.69%	1.82%	1.12%
8	8132	Grantmaking and Giving Services	0.17%	1.25%	1.08%
9	5112	Software Publishers	0.32%	1.35%	1.03%
10	5191	Other Information Services	0.23%	1.25%	1.02%
Mo	st Under	represented 4-digit NAICS			
1	7222	Limited-Service Eating Places	3.63%	1.84%	-1.79%
2	4451	Grocery Stores	2.26%	0.69%	-1.58%
3	2382	Building Equipment Contractors	1.39%	0.27%	-1.12%
4	5221	Depository Credit Intermediation	1.80%	0.71%	-1.09%
5	4529	Other General Merchandise Stores	1.51%	0.44%	-1.07%
6	7211	Traveler Accommodation	1.66%	0.66%	-1.00%
7	7221	Full-Service Restaurants	4.03%	3.12%	-0.91%
8	8131	Religious Organizations	1.47%	0.56%	-0.90%
9	5617	Services to Buildings and Dwellings	1.46%	0.56%	-0.89%
10	6231	Nursing Care Facilities	1.46%	0.64%	-0.82%
Unite	ed States"	U.S. Department of Commerce			



Explanatory Factors for Employment Share Differences





Explanatory Factors for Employment Share Differences





Geographic Outcomes



- Midwestern University sample shows great concentration in geographic outcomes
- Can drill down at further levels of detail (MSA, County, 5-digit Zip, etc...) to assess local economic impacts



Wage Differences and Growth



- Wage Differences Across Sectors fits our priors
- Can also track wage outcomes, differences and growth among employees



Destination Firm Characteristics

	2010		2011		2012	
Variable	All US Firms	Employers*	All US Firms	Employers*	All US Firms	Employers*
Median Employment**	109.3	260.0	110.5	281.3	113.9	296.2
Median Age**	17.4	11.0	17.4	12.0	17.8	13.0
Median Payroll**	3,596.3	13,828.5	3,728.9	15,187.0	3,914.6	16,883.2
Median Average Payroll**	33,860.2	58,310.0	34,558.3	60,186.3	35,019.3	62,882.8
Median YoY Emp Growth**	0.00%	-0.01%	0.18%	0.76%	0.34%	2.55%

* Employment Weighted

** Fuzzy Medians (Mean of Firms in between the 45th and 55th Percentile)

- Grant recipients tend to get jobs in larger, older, higher growth and higher wage firms
 - Median size is 2.5x greater
 - Median Age is more than 6 years younger
 - Median Payroll is more than 3x greater
 - Median Average Payroll is nearly 2x higher
- Within High-Tech Industries (R&D Intensive Industries, the differences are even more stark
 - Median size is nearly 5x greater
 - Median Payroll is more than 6x greater
 - Growth Rates are much faster



Entrepreneurial Activity

Year	All	Faculty	Grad Student P	Post Graduate	Undergrad	Other
2005	14,051	2,818	1,001	351	691	9,190
2006	12,688	2,523	931	381	658	8,195
2007	16,504	3,066	1,257	511	1,007	10,663
2008	17,375	3,156	1,466	539	1,137	11,077
2009	17,937	3,145	1,547	569	1,249	11,427
2010	18,147	3,133	1,561	600	1,323	11,530
Total	46,986	5,880	4,977	1,678	4,013	30,438
Possible	222,622	10,958	29,759	8,531	34,119	139,255
Match Rate	21.1%	53.7%	16.7%	19.7%	11.8%	21.9%

Sole Prop/Non-Employer Match Statistics



Non-Employer Outcomes

Sole Prop Industries (by occupation)





Startup Business Dynamics (Matched through SS-4)



• Number of startups has been steadily increasing, although the cumulative size of these firms has been somewhat flat



Two Key Issues to Proceed with Analysis:



Two Key Issues to Proceed with Analysis:

• How to define individuals associated with Food Safety Research?

• How to define Food Safety Outcomes?



Two Key Issues to Proceed with Analysis:

- How to define individuals associated with Food Safety Research?
 - o Identification of Food Safety Grants
 - Identification of Food Safety Dissertations (ProQuest)
 - o Identification of Known PI's in Food Safety
 - Research Papers and Patent Output
- How to define Food Safety Outcomes?



Two Key Issues to Proceed with Analysis:

- How to define individuals associated with Food Safety Research?
 - o Identification of Food Safety Grants
 - o Identification of Food Safety Dissertations (ProQuest)
 - o Identification of Known PI's in Food Safety
 - Research Papers and Patent Output

• How to define Food Safety Outcomes?

- Industry Codes of Establishments?
 - 6-digit NAICS Nearly 1,100 categories. Is it disaggregated enough?
- Product Codes of Imports and Exports?
 - 10-digit Harmonized System Nearly 40,000 categories
- Other identifiers?

