

Plant Biotechnology: Current and Potential Impact For Improving Pest Management In U.S. Agriculture An Analysis of 40 Case Studies June 2002

Herbicide Tolerant Rice

Leonard P. Gianessi Cressida S. Silvers Sujatha Sankula Janet E. Carpenter

National Center for Food and Agricultural Policy 1616 P Street, NW Washington, DC 20036 Phone: (202) 328-5048 Fax: (202) 328-5133 E-mail: ncfap@ncfap.org Website: www.ncfap.org

Financial support for this study was provided by the Rockefeller Foundation, Monsanto, The Biotechnology Industry Organization, The Council for Biotechnology Information, Grocery Manufacturers of America, and CropLife America.

27. Rice Herbicide Tolerant

Production

Rice was harvested from 3.0 million acres in 2000 in the United States [1]. The value of this production was \$1.0 billion [2]. Commercial rice production in the United States occurs primarily in three regions: California (Sacramento Valley), Gulf Coast region comprising Texas and southwest Louisiana, and the Mississippi Delta region that includes Arkansas, northeast Louisiana, Mississippi, and Missouri. California produces medium grain primarily while Mississippi produces only long grain [6] [7]. Arkansas, Louisiana, Texas, and Missouri produce medium and long grain rice types [4] [5]. Table 27.1 summarizes rice production by state.

Rice is a unique crop in that, unlike all other field crops, it is grown in flooded fields. It is grown mostly on fine-textured poorly drained soils and soils with impervious hardpans or clay pans. These soils are well suited as their low water permeability enhances water use efficiency, provides for easy ponding, and results in standing water conditions that rice requires.

Rice is planted either in a dry seeded system or waterseeded system. In dry seeding, rice is drilled or broadcasted and the fields are flushed with water to provide adequate moisture for germination and to activate preemergence herbicides. A permanent flood is established normally at the 3 to 4 leaf stage of crop growth. In waterseeding, a flood is established throughout the cropping season except for a short period after planting to allow the rice seeds to peg. As a result, water requirement for waterseeded rice is higher than dryseeded rice.

Weed Problems

Weeds are the most serious pests affecting rice production in the United States. Weeds compete with rice for nutrients, moisture, sunlight, and space. Weeds increase harvesting costs, cause drying problems, and result in reduced yields, quality, and market value [7]. About 80 species of weeds cause economic losses in rice in the United States [19]. These species belong to 40 genera classified as aquatic, semiaquatic, and terrestrial plants. Some germinate only in flooded soil, some germinate in an upland environment but grow in flooded soil, and some can germinate and grow in either environment. Consequently, weed control tactics must be effective on weeds that vary widely in their physical and physiochemical characteristics.

The ten most troublesome weeds in the six rice-growing states are listed in Table 27.2. Red rice is the most troublesome weed in rice production of Louisiana, Arkansas, and Missouri. Red rice and domesticated rice belong to the same genus and species, and share the same biological and physiological characteristics; thus it is difficult to selectively chemically control red rice in rice. Red rice at densities of 5, 108 and 215 plants/m² reduced rice grain yields by 22, 77, and 82%, respectively [18]. Waterseeding is a cultural method of controlling red rice as red rice cannot germinate and emerge in anaerobic conditions.

Barnyardgrass is the number one weed problem in California, Mississippi, and Texas and a significant problem in other rice growing areas also. Research has shown that one barnyardgrass plant per m² can reduce grain yields by 58 lb/A. When barnyardgrass is uncontrolled, yield losses of 25% are common and losses can range up to 50% [9]. Barnyardgrass is troublesome, as majority of the rice herbicides are sensitive to moisture conditions and somewhat weak on larger sized barnyardgrass. Thus, timing of herbicide application is crucial for barnyardgrass control.

Weed Control

Management of weeds is critical for optimum rice yields. Mechanical weed control is of no value since rice is grown in rows of eight inches or less when drill seeded. Flooding

and rotation with crops such as soybeans, wheat, milo, and cotton are the most commonly used cultural methods of weed control in rice. Flooding results in a saturated situation thus preventing seeds of terrestrial weeds such as barnyardgrass and sprangletop from germinating due to the absence of oxygen. However, flooding is not an effective tool for the control of aquatic weeds such as ducksalad and alligatorweed.

Waterseeding (muddy waterseeding or clear waterseeding) is used as a cultural method to control red rice, particularly in Louisiana. In the muddy water system, flood is established and presoaked rice seed is broadcast aerially. Before the rice seed is planted, the flooded field is tilled, thereby muddying the water and killing the germinated red rice which is the biggest weed problem that would emerge in clear water. After muddying, pre-germinated rice seeds are flown into water, floodwater is released, and the seedlings are allowed to peg in the soil. Once rice seedlings are anchored sufficiently, the flood is brought up slowly until a permanent flood is established. Another waterseeding system that is increasing in popularity is clear water planting in which after seedbed preparation, the field is flooded but not tilled, rice is planted, and clear water is released [11].

A total of 6.45 acre-feet of water is used to establish permanent flood in rice from seeding stage to 2 weeks before harvest [8]. Herbicides, such as thiobencarb, are applied into the flooded water after crop emergence for weed control. The treated waters are held on the fields or onsite for up to several weeks to allow the pesticides to degrade and are eventually diverted through a system of channels into local rivers. Concern for residual herbicide concentrations in irrigation return flows to local drainage has led to emphasis on lowering herbicide application rates in rice [8]. Additionally, in rice fields with 4 inches of flood water, suspended sediment concentration may be as high as 15,000 ppm due to muddying of water for seeding. Releasing muddied water can remove as much as 7.5 tons of soil sediment and nutrients per acre from a rice field [11]. Table 27.3 displays estimates of the extent of waterseeding of rice, which is practiced primarily for weed control.

Herbicide options and weed management strategies differ between dry seeding and waterseeding methods. However, managing both herbicides and water in a timely manner is critical in both the systems. In dry seeded system, four to six weeks may elapse between planting and permanent flood establishment and controlling weeds during this period is critical [13].

Based on the time of application, herbicides used in rice are categorized into preplant, preemergence (PRE), and postemergence (POST). Herbicides that are applied preplant include thiobencarb and molinate. Thiobencarb is used in water-seeded rice only while molinate is used in both dryland and waterseeded systems. Thiobencarb is effective on barnyardgrass and annual sedges and provides only suppression of red rice. Molinate's weakness is that it is a barnyardgrass-only herbicide, varying in efficacy on other weeds. The residual activity of molinate and thiobencarb is up to 8 and 20 days, respectively. Preemergence herbicides are quinclorac, pendimethalin, and thiobencarb. Quinclorac controls annual grasses and some broadleaf weeds but is ineffective on sprangletop. Pendimethalin controls annual grasses including sprangletop and broadleaf signalgrass.

Postemergence rice herbicides are propanil, molinate, fenoxaprop, quinclorac, bentazon, acifluorfen, bensulfuron, 2,4-D, and triclopyr. These herbicides are mixed in various combinations to achieve a broader range of weed control. Propanil is effective on barnyardgrass (1 to 4 leaf stage) and many other grasses and broadleaf weeds in rice fields. Fenoxaprop controls several grass weeds and is usually injurious to rice. Bentazon, acifluorfen, bensulfuron, 2,4-D, and triclopyr are broadleaf herbicides. Acifluorfen controls hemp sesbania while bensulfuron is an excellent herbicide for aquatic broadleaf weeds. Triclopyr controls alligatorweed, hemp sesbania, red stem, and jointvetch. Two herbicides that were recently registered for use in rice are clomazone and halosulfuron. Clomazone is inexpensive and controls all grass weeds and certain broadleaf weeds [16]. Halosulfuron is predominantly a nutsedge herbicide with activity on broadleaf weeds also.

Most of the POST rice herbicides need to be applied to the partial or fully drained fields to ensure coverage of the weeds with herbicide. The diverse weed flora (terrestrial and aquatic) in rice fields usually necessitate the use of two or more herbicides for the control of a range of weeds.

Reliance on a single herbicide can lead to the development of herbicide-resistant weeds in rice. Repeated use of propanil has resulted in the development of barnyardgrass biotypes resistant to propanil in Arkansas and California. In Louisiana and Mississippi, quinclorac-resistant barnyardgrass has been observed. Widespread use of quinclorac has also resulted in the abundance of escaped sprangletop species in Missouri, as quinclorac is a weak herbicide on this weed [5]. Aquatic weeds have developed resistance to bensulfuron in California (28% of the total acres were infested with resistant weeds) [11]. Controlling herbicide-resistant weeds with the available chemical choices is difficult due to lack of effective control. Non-selective herbicides such as glufosinate or glyphosate provide broad spectrum weed control and could help in controlling weeds that have developed resistance to the traditional rice herbicides due to their alternative mode of action. Table 27.4 displays estimates or current usage and cost of herbicides applied to rice acreage by state.

Herbicide Tolerant Rice

There are currently two varieties of transgenic herbicide tolerant rice being developed: Liberty Link (developed by Aventis) and Roundup Ready (developed by Monsanto). Liberty Link rice withstands the applications of the non-selective herbicide, glufosinate while Roundup Ready rice can tolerate the applications of glyphosate.

Research suggested that single or sequential applications of glufosinate provided excellent control of red rice, barnyardgrass, and broadleaf signalgrass [22] [23]. Researchers have reported excellent control (90% or greater) of 3-4 leaf red rice from sequential glufosinate rates as low as 0.27 lb AI/A compared to a single application of 1 lb AI/A [21]. In comparison, preplant incorporated molinate at 3 to 4 lb AI/A provided red rice control ranging from 56 to 70% only [17].

Estimated Impacts

The main benefit offered by transgenic rice would be greater control of red rice which is a major problem in the Delta and Gulf Coast producing areas. In California where red rice is not a severe threat, production losses due to other weeds, such as barnyardgrass, that are resistant to common herbicides are a problem [12]. Use of transgenic rice would allow the postemergence applications of nonselective herbicides, glufosinate and glyphosate, for the effective control of the resistant weeds. Since these herbicides control a broad-spectrum of weeds that infest rice fields, the overall amount of active ingredients required for weed control will be reduced. Table 27.5 displays estimates of herbicide use reductions that would occur with the planting of glufosinate resistant rice on acres that are currently waterseeded.

With an effective herbicide for controlling red rice and resistant grasses, rice growers would no longer need to practice waterseeding, which is more costly than dry seeded rice due to additional costs for water, tillage and seed. Table 27.6 displays estimates of cost savings that would occur as a result of switching waterseeded acreage to dry seeding following the adoption of herbicide tolerant rice. Also shown in Table 27.6 are estimated net savings in herbicide use costs that would result from substituting glufosinate for current herbicides used on waterseeded acres.

Rice growers could reduce herbicide use by 3.8 million pounds/year with the adoption of the herbicide tolerant rice varieties. Savings to growers would amount to \$19 million per year for reductions in land preparation and seed costs and \$30 million from reduced herbicide expenses.

The states of California and Louisiana would experience the greatest impacts since waterseeding is currently practiced to a greater extent in those two states.

Table 27.1	Rice Production	n by State (2000)	
	Acres (000)	Production (million lbs)	Value (million \$)
AR	1410	8611	482
CA	548	4352	217
LA	480	2440	142
MS	218	1286	73
MO	169	963	52
TX	214	1434	83
US	3039	19086	1049

Source: [1] [2]

Table	27.2 Ten most tr	oublesome weed	ls in rice growin	g states of the U	S.	
Rank	Arkansas	Louisiana	Mississippi	Texas	Missouri	<u>California</u>
1	Red rice	Red rice	Barnyardgrass	Barnyardgrass	Red rice	Barnyardgrass
2	Barnyardgrass	Paspalum species	Palmleaf morningglory	Junglerice	Barnyardgrass	Watergrass
3	Sprangletop	Nutsedge species	Pitted morningglory	Redrice	Giant foxtail	Sprangletop species
4	Nutsedge	Sprangletops	Red rice	Texasweed	Sprangletops	Umbrella sedge
5	Smartweed	Alligatorweed	Amazon sprangletop	Broadleaf signalgrass	Broadleaf signalgrass	Ricefield bulrush
6	Broadleaf signalgrass	Texasweed	Ducksalad	Sprangletops	Hemp sesbania	Redstem
7	Jointvetch	Ducksalad	Purple ammania	Dayflowers	Morningglory species	California arrowhead
8	Ducksalad/ Redstem	Dayflowers	Yellow nutsedge	Alligatorweed	Jointvetch	Ducksalad
9	Morningglory Species	Barnyardgrass species	Broadleaf signalgrass	Soft rush	Redstems	Water hyssop

10	Hemp	Broadleaf	Hemp	Flatsedges	Smartweeds	Common
	sesbania	signalgrass	sesbania			water plantain
	Source: [1] [3]	[4] [6] [20].				

Table 27.3	Waterseeded R	ice Acres: US	
	(000 A)	%	Acres
		Waterseeded ¹	Waterseeded
AR	1410	1	14
CA	548	95	521
LA	480	75	360
MS	218	1	2
ΜΟ	169	15	25
ТХ	214	10	21
Total	3039		9 <mark>43</mark>

Sources: [24] [25] [26] [27] [28] [5]

Table 27.4	Herbio	cide Use: US Rie	ce Acreage (20	00) ¹	
	Area Applied (%)	Rate per Crop Year (lbs/A)	Total Applied (000 lbs)	\$/lb AI ²	\$/yr (000)
<u>Arkansas</u>					
2, 4-D	12	0.65	112	3	336
Acifluorfen	6	0.17	16	31	496
Bentazon	6	0.53	44	19	836
Clomazone	45	0.43	275	26	7150
Glyphosate	15	0.92	198	10	1980
Halosulfuron	6	0.05	4	282	1128
Molinate	19	2.33	631	6	3786
Pendimethalin	13	0.91	165	6	990
Propanil	75	3.31	3532	5	17660
Quinclorac	18	0.15	39	64	2496
Triclopyr	<u>14</u>	<u>0.30</u>	<u>59</u>	<u>66</u>	<u>3894</u>
(Total)		3.57	5075	29	40752
California					
<u>California</u> Bensulfuron	30		7	2000	14224
2, 4-D	30		9	3	26
Fenoxaprop	4		1	102	152
Glyphosate	4		6	102	62
MCPA			22	4	88
Molinate	59		1026	6	6155
Pendimethalin	37		3	6	21
Propanil	60		1357	0 5	6785
Thiobencarb	50		1006	6	6038
Triclopyr	50		78	26	2023
(Total)	<u>50</u>	6.39	35 <u>15</u>	<u>20</u> 65	<u>2023</u> 35574
Louisiana	40	1 1 1	212	3	620
2, 4-D Bensulfuron		1.11 0.04	213		639 22000
	55 5		11	2000	
Glyphosate	3	1.00	25	10	250

TT 1 10		0.02	1	202	202
Halosulfuron	6	0.02	1	282	282
Molinate	30	2.55	368	6	2208
Propanil	27	3.14	404	5	2020
Quinclorac	42	0.13	27	64	1728
(Total)		2.16	1049	60	29127
<u>Mississippi</u>					
2, 4-D	41	0.77	70	3	210
Acifluorfen	10	0.22	5	31	155
Clomazone	68	0.56	84	26	2184
Glyphosate	28	0.98	61	10	610
Halosulfuron	11	0.04	1	282	282
Molinate	16	2.39	84	6	504
Propanil	43	4.07	385	5	1925
Quinclorac	43	0.24	22	64	1408
(Total)		3.23		33	7278
Texas					
Bensulfuron	24	0.13	7	2000	14000
Bentazon	32	0.51	35	19	665
Glyphosate	22	0.94	45	10	450
Halosulfuron	48	0.03	3	282	846
Molinate	38	2.08	172	6	1032
Propanil	82	3.13	552	5	2760
Quinclorac	58	0.12	15	64	960
Thiobencarb	16	1.75	59	6	354
(Total)		4.13	888	98	21067

¹Source: [10] [14] ²Source: [15]

Table 27.5	Herbi	cide Use Imp	act: Transgenio	c Rice	
		Не	rbicide Use (lbs	/A)	
	Acres		Herbicide		Total
	Waterseeded	Current	Tolerant	<u>Change</u>	Change
	<u>(000)</u>				(000 lbs)
AR	14	3.57	.54	-3.03	-42
CA	521	6.39	.54	-5.85	-3048
LA	360	2.16	.54	-1.62	-583
MS	2	3.23	.54	-2.69	-5
MO	25	3.57	.54	-3.03	-75

ТХ	21	4.13	.54	-3.59	<u>-75</u>
Total					-3828

Table 27.6	Econom	ic Impact of	Herbicide Tole
	A) Change in L	and Prepara	tion/Seed Costs
	Acres (000)	<u>\$/A¹</u>	<u>(000 \$/yr)</u>
AR	14	-25.60	-350
CA	521	-17.40	-8857
LA	360	-25.60	-9000
MS	2	-25.60	-50
MO	25	-25.60	-625
ТХ	21	-25.60	<u>-525</u>
			-19407

¹Source: [29] [30]

B) Change in Herbicide Costs

			\$/A	-	
	Acres (000)	Current	<u>Herbicide</u>	<u>Change</u>	<u>(000 \$)</u>
			Tolerant		
AR	14	29	33	+4	+56
CA	521	65	33	-32	-16672
LA	360	66	33	-33	-11880
MS	2	33	33	0	0
MO	25	29	33	+4	+100
ТХ	21	98	33	-65	<u>-1365</u>
					-29761

		C) Total Economic Impact (000 \$)					
Land/Seed	Herbicide	<u>Total</u>					
Costs	<u>Costs</u>						
-350	+56	-294					
-8857	-16672	-25529					
-9000	-11880	-20880					
-50	0	-50					
-625	+100	-525					
-525	<u>-1365</u>	<u>-1890</u>					
-19407	-29761	-49168					
	<u>Costs</u> -350 -8857 -9000 -50 -625 <u>-525</u>	$\begin{array}{c c} \underline{Costs} & \underline{Costs} \\ -350 & +56 \\ -8857 & -16672 \\ -9000 & -11880 \\ -50 & 0 \\ -625 & +100 \\ \underline{-525} & \underline{-1365} \end{array}$					

References

- USDA, <u>Crop Production 2001 Summary</u>, National Agricultural Statistics Service, January, 2002.
- USDA, <u>Crop Values 2001 Summary</u>, National Agricultural Statistics Service, February, 2002.
- Webster, T. M., "Weed Survey -Southern States: Grass Crops Subsection", <u>Proceedings of Southern Weed Science Society</u>, January, 2000.
- 4. USDA, <u>Crop Profile for Rice in Louisiana</u>, available at http://pestdata.ncsu.edu/ cropprofiles.
- 5. USDA, <u>Crop Profile for Rice in Missouri</u>, available at http://pestdata.ncsu.edu/ cropprofiles.
- 6. USDA, <u>Crop Profile for Rice in California</u>, available at http://pestdata.ncsu.edu/ cropprofiles.
- USDA, <u>Crop Profile for Rice in Mississippi</u>, available at http://pestdata.ncsu.edu/ cropprofiles.
- 8. "Environmental Attributes of Rice Cultivation in California", December, 1991.
- 9. Smith, R. J., "Weed Thresholds in Southern US Rice, Oryza sativa", <u>Weed</u> <u>Technology</u>, 1988.
- USDA, <u>Agricultural Chemical Usage: 2000 Field Crop Survey</u>, National Agricultural Statistics Service, May 2001.
- Webster, E., Saichuk, J., and Strahan, R., "Application for Specific Exemption in Accordance with Section 18 of the Amended FIFRA for Liberty Herbicide on Louisiana Rice Tolerant to Glufosinate".
- Chambers, W., and Childs, N., "Herbicide-Resistant Varieties in Commercial Rice Production: Implications for the Future", <u>Rice Situation and Outlook</u>, November, 1999.
- "Rice Varieties and Management Tips", <u>Louisiana State University Agricultural</u> <u>Center Publication</u>, 2000.
- CALEPA, <u>Summary of Pesticide Use Report Data 2000</u>: Indexed by Crop, Department of Pesticide Regulation.
- 15. <u>Rice 2000 Planning Budgets</u>, Mississippi State University December 1999.

- "Growers Await New Labels for Rice Herbicides", <u>Delta Farm Press</u>, January, 1998.
- Smith, R. J., "Molinate and Water Management for Weed Control in Rice", <u>Weed</u> <u>Science</u>, May, 1971.
- Diarra, A., Smith, R. J., and Talbert, R. E., "Interference of Red Rice (*Oryza sativa*) with Rice (*Oryza sativa*), <u>Weed Science</u>, 1985.
- Smith, R. J. Jr., Flinchum, W. T., and Seaman, D. E., "Weed Control in US Rice Production", <u>U. S. Dep. Agric. Handbook</u>, 1977.
- Dowler, C. C., "Weed Survey -Southern States: Grass Crops Subsection", Proceedings of Southern Weed Science Society, January, 1997.
- Sankula, S., Braverman, M. P., and Linscombe, S. D., "Response of *bar* Transformed Rice (*Oryza sativa*) and Red Rice (*Oryza sativa*) to Glufosinate Application Timing", <u>Weed Technology</u>, 1997.
- Wheeler, C. C., Baldwin, F. L., Talbert, R. E., Schmidt, L. A., and Rutledge, J. L., "Potential for Broad Spectrum Control of Weeds in Glufosinate-Tolerant Rice", <u>Proceedings of Southern Weed Science Society</u>, January, 1999.
- Smith, H. C., Street, J. E. Reynolds, D. B., Kurtz, M. E., and Cobill, R. M.,
 "Weed Control Programs in Liberty Link Rice", <u>Proceedings of Southern Weed</u> <u>Science Society</u>, January, 2000.
- 24. Slaton, Nathan, University of Arkansas, personal communication.
- 25. Canevari, Mick, University of California, personal communication.
- 26. Saichuk, John, Louisiana State University, personal communication.
- 27. Street, Joe, Mississippi State University, personal communication.
- 28. Klosterboer, Arlen, Texas A&M University, personal communication.
- 29. "Sample Costs to Produce Organic Rice, No Till Drillseeded/Waterseeded", (separate volumes), University of California Cooperative Extension Service, 1992.
- Projected Costs and Returns/Whole Farm Analysis for Major Agricultural Enterprises Louisiana 2000, LSU, January 2000.